



MATERNITY POLICIES: IMPORTANT FACTS.

These are some facts that we believe are very important:

- Fertility rates are dropping everywhere, even in countries with historically outstanding maternity policies, such as Iceland and France.
- Women have a high drop-out rate from the labour force a few years after having children.
- Many women feel like they are trying to square an impossible circle: children, family, work, ambition and leisure.
- Children's early years are critical in defining their emotional development, and this includes abilities such as stamina, resilience and risk appetite.

In the attachment, you can find some solutions that we believe will make a genuine difference to mothers, children, society at large and, yes, GDP.

Tell us what you think of it here: <https://www.surveymonkey.co.uk/r/GNLRWPH>

MATERNITY POLICIES: OUR VIEW.

Here, you can find some thoughts I have developed over the past five years. During this time I have done a range of different, but related, investigations. First, it was my own research on the risks of pregnancy and labour techniques, ahead of the arrival of my first son. Then my team and I looked for data on how the participation of women and the education level of women has evolved over time in Europe and China. We later organised a dozen one-on-one interviews with professionally highly successful women with children, to seek their wisdom and their desires on how to handle the arrival of children, whilst maintaining momentum in their careers.

The result of all these views and information we collected, together with the work we do daily on mapping economies' business cycles and structural trend growth, led me to the following views.

There is an obvious financial gap between the cost of rearing children and the financial conditions societies offer to prospective and "already" families. This part is the most straightforward problem related to maternity, and the easiest to tackle, provided there is genuine political will, at a time of plentiful quantitative easing and "structural reforms".

There is a massive "structural" problem with the process of having children: it requires time. A lot of time. And, perhaps an even bigger difficulty is that women do not want to be the "only ones" to be given extra time for children. Many women do not want to have longer maternity leave because they are aware of the damage it will do to their careers and income prospects. However, longer paid leave would make enormous sense in practice. So, we need to approach this challenge from a different angle. Let's break up the working life of everyone more frequently than what we do today. This would generate major productivity gains, in my view, as it would allow younger people to gain experience earlier and would introduce more regular career breaks to upgrade professional skills, which is a necessity in today's world of rapid technological change.

There also is the subtle, but extremely important, issue of emotional development, which we should recognise and address. In the first five years of life, humans develop critically important skills and many of these skills relate to their emotional development and, ultimately, well being. Emotions are not just important for happiness, they are also critical in "storing" information for our brains and ultimately influence our willingness and ability to handle professional risk.

Mothers play an obvious critical role in this phase; however, to do this mothers themselves need time, as well as emotional and educational support, even before a child is born. During my experience as a mother, I have encountered incredible women with extremely precious skills as midwives. Today's society is investing way too little to train enough midwives and it is creating too many regulatory barriers that prevent midwives from taking a centre role in the process of supporting women before, during and after birth. This is a very serious mistake. It is inflicting enormous stress and pain on families, who do not have the love and care needed to navigate this precious time of life. It is inflicting pain on babies, which very often come into this world in an excessively hospitalised environment.


We must change on all these fronts if we want to properly address the bottlenecks we have very clearly in front of us.

MATERNITY POLICIES: A DIFFERENT APPROACH.

Some charts for those who like numbers

ACHIEVEMENT OF TERTIARY EDUCATION.


The education of women has improved so much that, in many countries today there are more women than men reaching the highest level of education



Source: Eurostat, 2009


THE AVERAGE LENGTH OF WORKING LIFE...

The duration of the working life of women has increased, on average, by 2.2 years in the past 10 years vs. 0.9 years for men



Source: Eurostat

... IS STILL SHORTER THAN THAT OF MEN.




Source: Eurostat

DEMOGRAPHICS CHALLENGES AHEAD.

The combination of the drop in fertility rates and longer life expectancies leads to depressing population projections (EU)

Total EU population: currently and projected



Source: Eurostat – showing projections for the EU

MATERNITY POLICIES: WHAT THE DATA DO NOT SHOW.

We travel around Europe to engage in conversations on economic and political topics, and have been doing so for four years – we do this with both active and non-active voters, across genders, education levels and income levels.

We have picked up a high degree of frustration among people related to the arrival of children, especially among women (but also among men).

Impossible equilibria are sought stubbornly for the sake of personal satisfaction, family stability, and simply to make ends meet.

We have asked highly-successful women, with children, what their best advice is to cope with family/work challenges. Their answers were remarkably standard: more kindergartens, an extension of paternity leave, and more flexible working hours.

In our view, however, this does not appear to be enough.

Also, in any case, it would not address the gender pay gap, which is exacerbated by maternity.

This is an incredibly sensitive topic – women do not like to be singled out, and there is no one view that dominates on how to tackle this issue.

MATERNITY POLICIES: A DIFFERENT APPROACH.

Let's try a different approach

EDUCATION: BREAK IT DOWN.

Extending maternity leave is controversial, due to its cost, and the perceived and real cost of remaining outside the workforce for too long.

Our society is based on the continuity of working life, even though continuity does not make as much sense in today's society as it used to.

Technological progress implies a constant need for acquiring new skills, and retraining occasionally. This takes time and money.

We concentrate our education in bulk: from 5 to 23-25 years old. However, this underuses the young and energetic (17-20 years) and over-relies on the older generations (via the extension of the retirement age past 60 years old).


How about introducing frequent education-career breaks?

This would involve stopping traditional education at 17 years old, getting some work experience, returning to education at 22 years, with a break at 35 years, then returning at 38, and so on.

This would allow the time for men and women to re-energise and re-train, and would help to remove the stigma attached to maternity leave.

CHILDREN: SPEND MORE MONEY ON THEM.

Do we spend too much money on pensions and too little on families?



Source: Eurostat

CHILDREN: SPEND MORE MONEY ON THEM.

Compulsory paternity leave

Kindergartens/nurseries should be made widely available, and open six days per week, from 07:00 to 23.00, 50 weeks per year.

Sounds extreme? This is actually the way it used to be in some countries.

Extend maternity leave for to up to three years, to allow women to cope with pregnancy and the arrival of a newborn, without the incredible amount of stress it can put on a family and finances.

Sounds extreme? Without this, women end up dropping out of the labour force anyway after a few years post-partum because they are burned out. Or worse, shortcuts are taken in child rearing, with potentially significant, long-term consequences on a child's emotional development.

Change the funding for maternity leave: spread the cost over the whole society, so it is not as concentrated on the employer, nor fully on the self-employed. Children are, to some extent, a “public good”.

Too expensive? Well, with interest rates at rock bottom, why does it sound “safer” to boost asset price bubbles and underinvest in the future of society, rather than trying to recalibrate between the two?

MATERNITY POLICIES: A DIFFERENT APPROACH.

Revolutionary or Evolutionary?

COMPETITIVENESS: FROM A NEW ANGLE.

In a world with the free movement of labour and capital, the quality of living is likely to increasingly become a key driver of the competitiveness of countries.

Creating an environment that is supportive of happy families would help the attractiveness of a country and, implicitly, the public debt sustainability.

You can add as many robots as you want, but robots do not pay taxes and corporations pay much less in taxes than humans.

Maternity policies and industrial policies are the two most important areas that must evolve in the face of the demographic challenges and technological changes ahead. It would also make people happier. Isn't this what we should be striving for?

**Thank you for your time & feedback,
Raffaella & team**

DISCLAIMER.

// Copyright 2020 ADA. All rights reserved.

This report may provide information, commentary and discussion of issues relating to the state of the economy and the capital markets. All opinions, projections and estimates constitute the judgment of the author as of the date of the report and are subject to change without notice. ADA is under no obligation to update this report and readers should therefore assume that AD will not update any fact, circumstance or opinion contained in this report.

The content of this report is provided for discussion purposes only. Any forward looking statements or forecasts included in the content are based on assumptions derived from historical results and trends. Actual results may vary from any such statements or forecasts. No reliance should be placed on any such statements or forecasts when making any investment decision, and no investment decisions should be made based on the content of this report.

This report is not intended to provide personal investment advice and it does not take into account the specific investment objectives, financial situation and particular needs of any specific person. Under no circumstances does any information represent a recommendation to buy or sell securities or any other asset, or otherwise constitute investment advice. Investors should seek financial advice regarding the appropriateness of investing in specific securities or financial instruments and implementing investment strategies discussed or recommended in this report.

This report should not be regarded by recipients as a substitute for the exercise of their own judgment and readers are encouraged to seek independent, third-party research on any companies discussed or impacted by this report.

In accordance with rules established by the U.K. Financial Services Authority, macroeconomic analysis is NOT considered investment research. Materials prepared by ADA research personnel are based on public information. Facts and views presented in this material have not been reviewed by, and may not reflect information known to, professionals in other business areas of ADA.

To the extent this report discusses any legal proceeding or issues, it has not been prepared as nor is it intended to express any legal conclusion, opinion or advice. Investors should consult their own legal advisers as to issues of law relating to the subject matter of this report. ADA research personnel's knowledge of legal proceedings in which any ADA entity and/or its directors, officers and employees may be plaintiffs, defendants, co—defendants or co—plaintiffs with or involving companies mentioned in this report is based on public information. Facts and views presented in this material that relate to any such proceedings have not been reviewed by, discussed with, and may not reflect information known to, professionals in other business areas of ADA in connection with the legal proceedings or matters relevant to such proceedings.

The information herein (other than disclosure information relating to ADA and its affiliates) was obtained from various sources and while all effort has been made to provide accurate information, ADA does not guarantee its accuracy. This report may contain links to third—party websites. ADA is not responsible for the content of any third—party website or any linked content contained in a third—party website. Content contained on such third—party websites is not part of this report and is not incorporated by reference into this report. The inclusion of a link in this report does not imply any endorsement by or any affiliation with ADA.

Any unauthorised use or disclosure is prohibited.



79, Alvington Crescent,
London E8 2NN,
UK

ADA_Research@adaeconomics.com

Tel: +44 (0) 759 4704 277

www.adaeconomics.com